Microneedles permit transdermal delivery of a skin-impermeant medication to humans
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As a transdermal (TD) drug delivery system, Naltrexone (NTX) is used to treat opiate and alcohol dependence. This hydrophilic and skin-impermeant medication to humans demonstrates systemic administration of a hydrophilic medication that dissolves upon application (11–13).

Microneedles (MNs) represent a viable technological approach to enhance drug permeation across the SC (8). MN-based delivery involves micrometer-scale solid or hollow needles that painlessly pierce the SC. For example, an array of stainless steel, solid MNs produces a grid of holes, or micropores, through which medications delivered via a standard patch, may be delivered to the skin for local or systemic drug absorption (9). Modern microfabrication techniques have been used to make MNs by methods suitable for inexpensive mass production (10). In addition to solid, stainless-steel arrays, a number of other designs have been created, including hollow needles through which a drug solution may be transported, MNs that have been coated with a drug for dissolution upon application, and polymer MNs that dissolve upon application (11–13).

Microneedles have been used to pierce the skin and thereby enable TD delivery of small molecules, proteins, DNA, and vaccines for systemic action, as shown in numerous in vitro and animal studies (9, 13–15). Although there have been a number of studies in animals, there is very little scientific literature describing the use of MNs on humans. Kaushik et al. (16) reported that blinded healthy volunteers could not distinguish a MN array from a smooth surface relative to pain sensation. The same subjects could clearly distinguish a MN array from a 26-gauge hypodermic needle. Mikszta et al. (15) compared microarrays to ECG electrode pads for their ability to disrupt human skin, cause pain, or induce inflammation. MNs of 200 μm in length were successful in breaching the SC as measured by transepidermal water loss. Pain perception was negligible and a minor skin irritation lasting to 48-h postapplication was noted in several subjects. Sivamani et al. (17), in a test of localized drug deposition and effect, found greater skin blood flow after injection of 1 μl of methyl nicotinate with MNs as compared with topical methyl nicotinate alone.

Transdermal (TD) drug delivery has proven to be of great therapeutic utility (1, 2). Patient acceptance of the technology is evident given the commercial success of products intended for chronic pain management, angina and congestive heart failure, and hormone replacement therapies. A TD patch can provide continuous drug administration, minimizing peaks and troughs in plasma levels throughout the day. TD systems can take the place of more risky and invasive injection-based drug delivery, thus improving regimen compliance. Moreover, they are more efficient, use less medication, and are less variable compared with some oral medications that undergo presystemic metabolism.

Even greater utilization of TD delivery for systemic drug administration is inhibited by several key factors. First, the stratum corneum (SC) outer layer of the skin is a very effective barrier at preventing entry of xenobiotics, infectious agents, and other substances into the body. This barrier prevents therapeutic delivery of most drugs other than those with high potency (dose in milligrams or less), low molecular mass (<1,000 Da), and optimal octanol-water partition coefficient (3).

Design of TD product formulations has attempted to overcome some of these limitations and enhance drug permeation (2). Chemical formulation methods may include the use of solvents, surfactants, and other chemical additives to diffuse and partition drug into the skin or to act as a carrier. Chemical enhancers may also disrupt SC structure (4). Prodrug and codrug medicinal chemistry, whereby physicochemical properties are modified through chemical synthesis with additional nontherapeutic or therapeutic substrates, has also been attempted (5, 6).

Physical approaches apply energy to enhance permeation, causing disruption of the SC, generally on a temporary basis (7). Successful methods include iontophoresis for polar molecules, electroporation and sonophoresis, the use of ultrasound, and even microdermabrasion or laser ablation.

Microneedles (MNs) represent a viable technological approach to enhance drug permeation across the SC (8). MN-based delivery involves micrometer-scale solid or hollow needles that painlessly pierce the SC. For example, an array of stainless steel, solid MNs produces a grid of holes, or micropores, through which medications delivered via a standard patch, may be delivered to the skin for local or systemic drug absorption (9). Modern microfabrication techniques have been used to make MNs by methods suitable for inexpensive mass production (10). In addition to solid, stainless-steel arrays, a number of other designs have been created, including hollow needles through which a drug solution may be transported, MNs that have been coated with a drug for dissolution upon application, and polymer MNs that dissolve upon application (11–13).

Microneedles have been used to pierce the skin and thereby enable TD delivery of small molecules, proteins, DNA, and vaccines for systemic action, as shown in numerous in vitro and animal studies (9, 13–15). Although there have been a number of studies in animals, there is very little scientific literature describing the use of MNs on humans. Kaushik et al. (16) reported that blinded healthy volunteers could not distinguish a MN array from a smooth surface relative to pain sensation. The same subjects could clearly distinguish a MN array from a 26-gauge hypodermic needle. Mikszta et al. (15) compared microarrays to ECG electrode pads for their ability to disrupt human skin, cause pain, or induce inflammation. MNs of 200 μm in length were successful in breaching the SC as measured by transepidermal water loss. Pain perception was negligible and a minor skin irritation lasting to 48-h postapplication was noted in several subjects. Sivamani et al. (17), in a test of localized drug deposition and effect, found greater skin blood flow after injection of 1 μl of methyl nicotinate with MNs as compared with topical methyl nicotinate alone.
To date, there are no published human reports describing MN-enhanced TD delivery of a medication to the systemic circulation to our knowledge. Our article builds on previous preclinical publications and describes a study in which MN arrays were administered to humans in conjunction with TD systemic delivery of a therapeutic molecule. We selected naltrexone (NTX), which is used to block opioid effects in detoxified patients and treat alcohol dependence, as a model drug because it would benefit from TD administration and is difficult to deliver across intact skin (5, 6, 18). NTX is a small, hydrophilic molecule that when administered orally undergoes significant first-pass metabolism with a highly variable 5–40% systemic bioavailability (19). A recently marketed 30-day NTX depot injection provides therapeutic levels for 1 month but with a 10- to 15-fold peak-to-trough variation and in an administration system most patients find objectionable (20). Relatively constant NTX blood levels, and perhaps lower side effects, could be achieved if a TD product was developed that provided a relatively constant delivery rate (21).

The following study was conducted to determine, in an initial human proof-of-concept study, whether water-soluble NTX hydrochloride could be successfully administered systemically from a TD patch after pretreatment of the SC with MNs. As reported by Vereby et al. (22), a 2 ng/ml plasma concentration in humans resulted in an 85.6% narcotic blockade in response to a 25-mg i.v. injection of heroin. A study performed in healthy volunteers who were given a single oral dose of 50 mg NTX showed maximum plasma concentration values ranging from 20 to 25 ng/ml, and after 24 h those levels had dropped to ~3 ng/ml (23, 24). Plasma levels of NTX are in the 2–4 ng/ml range for days 7–28 of the monthly depot injection regime (20) and could be a target concentration range for this study.

Given the preclinical data on MNs and the known pharmacokinetics of NTX administered by other routes, our hypotheses are that (i) a modest TD NTX dose of ~10 mg per day will produce steady-state plasma levels of 2 ng/ml when the skin is pretreated with MNs, (ii) control subjects whose skin is not pretreated with MNs will demonstrate no significant systemic absorption of NTX, and (iii) the MNs and NTX TD system will be well tolerated by healthy subjects.

Results

NTX Pharmacokinetics in Humans After TD Administration. The principal question we wanted to address was whether pretreatment of skin with MNs, and subsequent placement of a TD patch, would permit rapid attainment of pharmacologic, clinically relevant and sustained plasma levels of a hydrophilic molecule not normally absorbed across intact skin. To address this question, our data show that MN pretreatment of the skin permitted rapid systemic exposure to NTX. Measurable plasma levels were demonstrable within 15 min after patch placement in three MN-treated subjects and within 30 min for the remaining three subjects. The concentration–time curve (Fig. 1) shows a rapid rise or burst of absorption within the first several hours of application. Maximum concentrations occurred within a range of 1.5 to 18 h.

Additional questions regarding our technique relate to the length of time the micropores remain open. Would the pore function for several days, permitting relatively constant rates of drug delivery for systemic absorption, or rapidly undergo healing changes that would retard drug absorption? We demonstrate steady-state or a relatively constant plasma concentration of NTX was achieved within hours, to at most 1 day after patch placement, which indicates rapid transit, localized diffusion, equilibrium of NTX through dermal layers, and absorption into capillary beds (Table 1). Approximately zero-order delivery appeared to be achieved for 48 h with a steady-state plasma concentration of ~2.5 ng/ml, consistent with levels associated with pharmacologic activity. The maximum concentration obtained was somewhat variable and ranged from 1.6 to 8.1 ng/ml. Subjects with the lower concentration profiles tended to have lower peak-to-trough differences over the 72-h administration period. Similarly, the time to maximum concentration had a wide range, from as little as 1–2 h in two subjects, to as long as 18 h in two other subjects.

Skin micropores appeared to have remained open for at least 48 h as plasma levels appeared to be relatively constant for the first 48 h of administration. Two subjects had a profile suggesting drug permeation up to 72 h. Average plasma levels appeared to be consistent for at least 48 h, with a modest average decline of NTX concentration by ~50% at 72 h. The 72-h time-point average concentration of 1.8 ng/ml was ~25% of the maximum concentration and 50% of the steady-state concentration. Pharmacologically active plasma concentrations were still evident at the last time point, 72 h after patch placement. The apparent plasma clearance of NTX indicates an approximate half-life of 4.4 h, suggesting that 95% of NTX would be eliminated within 24 h of patch removal. In contrast to the MN-treated subjects, the control subjects had undetectable (~<1 ng/ml) NTX plasma levels, indicating minimal transfer of drug across the skin. Average peak levels in MN-treated subjects were 4.5 times larger than the assay detection limit.

Drug delivery systems that avoid presystemic drug clearance have been demonstrated to remarkably change the metabolite profile of certain medications. Similarly, we wanted to understand whether the TD system would significantly alter, and perhaps even reverse the ratio of the parent drug NTX to the metabolite. Naltrexol (NTXOL; primary metabolite of NTX) plasma concentrations were significantly less than the parent drug NTX (Fig. 2). This finding is consistent with avoiding with pharmacologic activity. The maximum concentration obtained was somewhat variable and ranged from 1.6 to 8.1 ng/ml. Subjects with the lower concentration profiles tended to have lower peak-to-trough differences over the 72-h administration period. Similarly, the time to maximum concentration had a wide range, from as little as 1–2 h in two subjects, to as long as 18 h in two other subjects.

Skin micropores appeared to have remained open for at least 48 h as plasma levels appeared to be relatively constant for the first 48 h of administration. Two subjects had a profile suggesting drug permeation up to 72 h. Average plasma levels appeared to be consistent for at least 48 h, with a modest average decline of NTX concentration by ~50% at 72 h. The 72-h time-point average concentration of 1.8 ng/ml was ~25% of the maximum concentration and 50% of the steady-state concentration. Pharmacologically active plasma concentrations were still evident at the last time point, 72 h after patch placement. The apparent plasma clearance of NTX indicates an approximate half-life of 4.4 h, suggesting that 95% of NTX would be eliminated within 24 h of patch removal. In contrast to the MN-treated subjects, the control subjects had undetectable (~<1 ng/ml) NTX plasma levels, indicating minimal transfer of drug across the skin. Average peak levels in MN-treated subjects were 4.5 times larger than the assay detection limit.

Drug delivery systems that avoid presystemic drug clearance have been demonstrated to remarkably change the metabolite profile of certain medications. Similarly, we wanted to understand whether the TD system would significantly alter, and perhaps even reverse the ratio of the parent drug NTX to the metabolite. Naltrexol (NTXOL; primary metabolite of NTX) plasma concentrations were significantly less than the parent drug NTX (Fig. 2). This finding is consistent with avoiding

### Table 1. NTX and NTXOL exposure after MN-enhanced TD delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NTX</th>
<th>NTXOL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, ng/ml</td>
<td>2.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;lag&lt;/sub&gt;, h</td>
<td>1.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, ng/ml</td>
<td>4.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&lt;sub&gt;max&lt;/sub&gt;, h</td>
<td>8.8 (7.6)</td>
<td>37.5 (31.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC&lt;sub&gt;24 h&lt;/sub&gt;, ng h/ml</td>
<td>142.9 (43.9)</td>
<td>39.7 (25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;last&lt;/sub&gt;, ng/ml</td>
<td>1.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means ± SD (in parentheses) for six MN-treated subjects. C<sub>max</sub> = concentration at steady-state condition; T<sub>lag</sub> = time to reach steady-state condition; C<sub>max</sub> = maximum concentration achieved; T<sub>max</sub> = time to achieve maximum concentration; AUC<sub>24 h</sub> = area under the concentration-time curve from time 0 to 72 h; C<sub>last</sub> = concentration at time of patch removal after 72 h of application.
presystemic first-pass metabolism of NTX. In contrast, NTXOL plasma concentrations are significantly higher than the parent drug NTX after oral administration (24). The reversal of parent-to-metabolite ratio is a desirable outcome, because the NTXOL metabolite is associated with adverse effects.

A steady-state concentration of 0.6 ng/ml NTXOL was obtained throughout the 72-h patch placement. The time to reach steady state was comparable to NTX, indicating a slight delay in presentation to the hepatic system for metabolism. Maximum NTXOL concentrations were also modest and were not obtained until an average of 45 h after patch placement. Thus, the MN-facilitated TD delivery of NTX resulted in generation of a much lower quantity of the metabolite (NTXOL) and at a much later time, i.e., 45 h after TD administration using MN versus 1 h after administration of a tablet (19). The study by King et al. (21) suggests our result could lower the side-effect profile of NTX, and improve compliance and retention in treatment, because withdrawal from oral drug treatment is associated with subjects who generate high levels of NTXOL.

**Effect of MNs and NTX Patch on Human Skin.** Limited previous studies have been reported on local tolerance of MNs themselves in humans. In our study we wanted to determine the tolerability of the MN arrays combined with a drug formulation and delivery system in humans. Our article demonstrates the tolerability of the combined technologies in humans. MN arrays and patches were easy to administer. Administration of MNs simply required removing their protective liner and pressing the MN against the skin by hand. Application time for MNs and TD patches took 1–2 min, which is quite short, given the nature of the prototype MN and patch systems. MN arrays were examined for physical damage after their application to each subject. No MN array had bent or broken needles, and no needles were broken off into the subjects’ skin.

The MN-treated subjects tolerated the MN and patch application system well. Subjects reported no pain when MNs were applied to their skin. The sensation of placement was described as simply of pressure applied at the site. Two subjects reported mild systemic side effects associated with NTX, such as nausea and lethargy, which are believed to be drug-specific and not directly associated with the MN delivery route. There was no clinically significant change in vital signs or liver function tests as a result of TD NTX administration. Control subjects also tolerated the patch system and reported no systemic-related side effects.

Four of the six MN-treated subjects did have skin changes observed when the patch and occlusive dressing were removed after 72 h of application, such as localized irritation and erythema outside of the patch placement site but within the dressing area for two of the subjects. Upon removal of the patch, two of the four subjects demonstrated contact dermatitis that exactly outlined the dimensions of the MN arrays insertion grid. Inside the raised areas were very small crusts that may represent the insertion points of the MNs and the subsequent micropores. The affected subjects were prescribed diphenhydramine capsules (antihistamine) and topical hydrocortisone cream as treatment. Subjects were seen in the clinic 4–6 days later and had responded to treatment. Re-examination of the skin demonstrated the contact dermatitis was greatly diminished and within 1–2 weeks had disappeared. However, the crusts continued healing throughout the 2-week observation period, with a faint outline of the MN array insertion points still evident. Only one control subject demonstrated any finding on skin examination. The subject complained of itchiness and irritation, which was under the occlusive dressing and the patch. The findings disappeared upon removal of the patch.

To better understand the possible causes of skin irritation seen in this study, we carried out an additional study in 10 subjects to assess the effect of just MN insertion followed by occlusion of the skin. No NTX or patch formulation was used. Immediately after insertion, erythema was typically seen at the punctate sites where each MN penetrated into the skin (data not shown). The degree of erythema varied from barely visible to moderate, highly localized, submillimeter spots of redness. Within a few hours, erythema disappeared in most cases, such that it was not possible to distinguish between MN-treated skin and adjacent skin. The more dramatic effects of contact dermatitis observed in the two patients administered NTX were not seen in any of the subjects treated with MNs alone. We therefore conclude that MNs themselves cause little to no skin irritation that is highly transient and that the NTX and/or formulation excipients were responsible for the skin irritation observed in some subjects in this study. Further optimization of patch formulation could reduce or eliminate this irritation.

To better understand the lifetime of TD transport pathways created in the skin by MNs, we carried out a supplemental study in which skin electrical resistance was measured as a function of time after piercing the skin with MNs in 10 human subjects. Skin electrical resistance has been shown to correlate well with skin permeability to various molecules (25). Average skin resistance dropped from 397 ± 183 kΩ before treatment to 11.7 ± 5.5 kΩ after MN insertion and removal. After covering with an occlusive dressing, skin resistance steadily increased but remained significantly less than the resistance of an adjacent control site of untreated skin for 30 h (Student’s t test, P < 0.05). These measurements are consistent with the measurements of NTX pharmacokinetics, which both show that brief treatment of skin with MNs creates long-lived permeability. There are quantitative differences between the measurements, however, because the electrical resistance measurement indicated a permeability lifetime of 30 h, whereas the NTX delivery measurement indicated a lifetime up to 72 h. This difference may exist because the electrical measurement assessed the barrier properties of skin’s SC, whereas the NTX measurement assessed the kinetics of drug delivery into the bloodstream, which is influenced not only by the SC barrier, but can also be influenced by diffusion, pooling, and possible binding in the skin en route to the bloodstream, which can delay the kinetics of drug clearance.

**Discussion**

**Delivery of NTX from the TD Patch.** This study demonstrates MN pretreatment of the skin and subsequent TD delivery of a drug to humans. Previous research to demonstrate TD transport has been conducted on human cadaver skin and small animals. Studies in humans have focused on the aesthetic nature of avoiding pain upon administration of the MNs or local action in
the skin itself. Thus, this work is a significant advancement by combining the MN technology enabling skin permeation with a drug formulation and delivery system for administration of a drug of clinical significance. This report provides the scientific basis and justification for future studies to test MN technology with skin-impermeant medications of different chemistry, such as peptides and proteins, marred with a TD drug delivery system.

This proof-of-concept study supports the hypothesis that in vivo insertion of MNs into the skin before placement of a standard TD patch drug delivery system results in pharmacologically active and clinically relevant plasma levels of a skin-impermeant medication. The MN-facilitated TD delivery was very efficient by providing pharmacologically active steady-state plasma levels of 2.5 ng/ml at a very modest estimated dose of 12.6 mg per day. The absorbed TD daily dose is roughly one-quarter of the daily dose administered as an oral tablet to achieve similar plasma levels. This observed increase in efficiency is ascribed to the avoidance of gastrointestinal and hepatic first-pass metabolism.

Moreover, as a result of avoiding first-pass metabolism, the variability in pharmacokinetic parameters across subjects was small after TD delivery using MNs. For comparison, bioavailability of the oral NTX tablet has an 8-fold variation, from 5% to 40%, and similar variability in pharmacokinetic parameters. Rate and extent of NTX exposure was similar across the subjects, with standard deviations being approximately half of the mean, which is characteristic desirable for drugs and delivery systems. This result is encouraging, given the relatively early proof-of-concept prototype design used in this pilot study.

Of great interest is the observation that most subjects appeared to have an initial burst of NTX into the systemic circulation. The result suggests that there is a loading-dose phenomenon, in which rapid absorption to therapeutic levels takes place initially and then is moderated over the course of the next few days. Subsequent to the burst, steady-state concentrations were achieved in a matter of hours, which is unusually fast for a TD delivery system. For example, conventional clonidine and fentanyl TD delivery systems do not achieve steady-state concentrations for 1–2 days (Catapres TTS and Duragesic Transdermal System product labels; www.fda.gov). The rapid and consistent achievement of steady-state drug concentrations observed in our study provides a pharmacokinetic profile that is ideal for many medication classes.

The observation of a burst of systemically available medication could potentially be explained by the combination of two effects. The first effects concern the relatively hydrophilic nature of NTX. Without the use of MN, or other enhancement techniques, drugs that can cross the skin are very hydrophobic and therefore form a large depot in the hydrophobic environment of the SC (1). The filling of this depot delays drug delivery into the circulation. The use of MNs created hydrophilic micropores across the SC, which bypassed the SC depot and permitted the use of a hydrophilic drug (i.e., NTX) that would not form a depot. This expedited NTX delivery to the circulation.

The second effect is that micropores close over time. Our electrical resistance measurements indicated that skin conductivity steadily decreased with time after MN insertion. NTX plasma levels also were reduced over time, suggesting a slow return of skin barrier properties caused by initiation of the healing process after microinjury to the epidermis. Elastic rebound of the skin back to its original conformation, release of cytokines upon skin piercing, closure of the pore by interstitial fluid proteins, reepithelialization initially through cell migration and subsequent regeneration, and forming a crust over the pore all may contribute to this rescaling process (26, 27).

Tolerability of MNs and NTX Patch. In general, the subjects tolerated the MN insertion and application of the NTX gel patch. Most subjects had mild erythema underneath the occlusive dressing that was added to further secure the prototype patches for several days and protect them from water. Several subjects also had skin changes under the patch system, in contact with the gel and at the MN insertion sites. These effects were not seen when applying MNs without an NTX patch. Observation of contact dermatitis could be related to the medication, NTX, because opiate structures are known to cause histamine release after local or systemic administration (9). Another possible explanation for local skin irritation is the use of benzyl alcohol as an antimicrobial preservative. Another form of aspesis for the drug product could eliminate this potential irritant.

An outline of the MN insertion site grids, along with punctate crusts over the insertion sites, was observable to varying degrees in most subjects. The observation did not appear to cause distress or discomfort in any subject. Disappearance of the outline and crusts over the next 1–2 weeks likely represented a continued healing process of the skin.

Implications for MN-Assisted TD Patch Delivery. This proof-of-concept study in humans demonstrated successful TD delivery of NTX, a small hydrophilic molecule. It is likely that other small hydrophilic molecules would be amenable to delivery using methods similar to those in this article. A significant new test would be to demonstrate human delivery of pharmaceuticals with significantly larger molecular weight, such as peptides and proteins, as shown in animals (9, 13–15). Additional challenges may be faced with larger molecules relative to formulation, the function of the skin barrier that may inhibit delivery such as binding of protein drugs to skin constituents, and exposure to proteases. Moreover, preclinical data suggest that TD vaccination via MN-pretreated skin is feasible.

This pilot study has a number of limitations that must be taken into context relative to the results. The systems used are relatively early-stage prototypes as far as TD delivery systems are usually designed. The patch and gel used standard components assembled to approximate pharmaceutical products. However, these data easily translate into preparation of 200-needle MN patches and NTX gel patches to deliver the desired dose in a more practical integrated delivery system. Application of MNs with this device was an impractical manual administration process. Additional engineering is required to standardize the force necessary to insert MNs to the appropriate depth. Results could be impacted by other factors such as choice of subjects and condition of their skin, anatomical site of MN insertion and patch application, and other well known variables to consider for TD drug administration.

In conclusion, this study reports the systemic delivery of a skin-impermeant medication via MN-facilitated TD delivery in humans. This study supports the significant body of preclinical research in animal and human cadaver skin, and in limited in vivo studies, that MN-enhanced TD delivery is feasible, well tolerated, and pain-free. Methods to enhance standardization of MN insertion and patch formulation are necessary for this applica-
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The results open the possibility of further studies to examine the effect of known variables of TD delivery. Moreover, this study opens the possibility of further research of NTX using a zero-order delivery system in the treatment of various substance abuse disorders.

Materials and Methods

Fabrication and Assembly of Solid MNs. Using methods described in detail previously, solid MN adhesive patches were fabricated for insertion into the skin (16). Briefly, fixed MN geometries were cut into 75-μm-thick stainless-steel sheets (Trinity Brand Industries; McMaster-Carr) using an infrared laser (Resonetics Maestro) and were then manually bent perpendicular to the plane of their metal substrate. For better insertion and adhesion of patches to the skin, MN arrays were assembled into adhesive patches as described. The adhesive served to hold the MNs firmly against the skin by compensating for the mechanical mismatch between the flexible skin tissue and the rigid MN substrate. The MN patches were assembled in a laminar flow hood for cleanliness and then ethylene oxide sterilized (AN 74; Andersen Sterilizers) before use.

MN arrays were fabricated to produce patches containing 50 MNs arranged in 5 × 10 arrays of MNs (Fig. 3A). Each MN measured 620 μm in length, 160 μm in width at the base, and <1 μm in radius of curvature at the tip. To validate that these MNs pierced into the skin to increase skin permeability, individual arrays were inserted into the forearms of 10 human subjects. After removing the MN patches, the skin was stained with a dye that selectively stains sites of skin barrier perforation. As shown in Fig. 3B, all 50 MNs on the patch inserted into the skin and pierced the skin’s SC barrier, as indicated by the 5 × 10 array of dyed spots corresponding exactly to the geometry of the MN array. These 10 subjects were also asked immediately after MN insertion and removal to score the pain on a 0–100 visual analog pain scale. The average pain score was 10 mg was estimated (24).

Preparation of NTX TD Patches. A 16% NTX hydrochloride (HCl) gel was formulated, prepared, and tested according to current good manufacturing practices as outlined by the Food and Drug Administration. The gel formulation composition (% w/w w/w) was NTX HCl [16% U.S. Pharmacopeia (USP)], sterile water for injection (20.25% USP), propylene glycol (60.75% USP), 2% Aerosol (hydroxyethyl cellulose), and 1% benzyl alcohol. The 16% NTX formulation was a clear, colorless gel and had a pH of 4.96 ± 0.03 and a viscosity of 1.69 ± 0.44 × 10⁴ CP.

The TD occlusive protective covering patches of NTX HCl (6.7 cm²) were fabricated by using commercially accessible components by sandwiching a rubber-ringed barrier to create a reservoir between a drug-impermeable backing membrane (Scotchpak 1109 SPAK 1.34 MIL heat-sealable polyester film; 3M) and an Arcare 7396 adhesive (Adhesives Research) around the edge of the rubber spacer (Fig. 3C). The impermeable backing laminate was adhered to the rubber retaining ringed barrier with 3M double-sided tape. Finally, an Arcare 7396-ringed barrier was placed on the bottom of the rubber seal, which maintained intimate contact with the skin and prevents evaporation of the gel formulations. The protective patch was placed on a release liner composed of Scotchpak 9742. The circular NTX patch area slightly exceeded the surface area of MN-treated skin to ensure adequate coverage of the micropores and reduce formulation pooling in square TD patches.

Clinical Study Procedures. This study was approved by the University of Kentucky Institutional Review Board and carried out in compliance with the ethical and scientific principles governing clinical research as set out in the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Nine male and female healthy volunteers were medically examined and interviewed to determine appropriateness for study. Inclusion/exclusion criteria to confirm general wellness were compared with data collected through a physical examination, blood sample (chemistry, cell counts, hepatitis), urine sample (urinalysis, pregnancy test if applicable, and drug of abuse screen), and drug and medical history. Subjects could not have a history of opiate use/abuse as indicated by verbal report and a negative urine drug screen or hepatitis. A standardized examination of the patch placement skin site was conducted to confirm normal skin. Subjects with inflammatory diseases of the skin, who had recent sunburn, or other conditions that may cause changes in skin physiology, or who used skin exfoliant dermatologic products or antibacterials were excluded. Subjects were not taking any medications at the time of the study with the exception of stable use of oral contraceptives. Subjects were nonsmokers, did not use tobacco products, and agreed to not consume alcoholic beverages during the study.

The six MN-treated and three control subjects were admitted to the inpatient facility of the General Clinical Research Center of the University of Kentucky Hospital. Subject’s good health was confirmed by interview and laboratory examination, and urine drug of abuse screens were repeated. Demographic characteristics of the six MN-treated subjects were average (SD) age of 25.3 (3.2) years, weight of 74 (13.7) kg, and height of 175.9 (7.8) cm, and they were split evenly between males and females. The three control subjects were 23.3 (2.1) years of age, 65.8 (11) kg, 173.9 (7.6) cm, and female.

The morning of NTX gel-patch administration, the subjects had an indwelling i.v. catheter placed in an antecubital or forearm vein contralateral to the arm that administered patch placement. Before patch administration, a single blood sample was obtained as baseline, along with vital signs, and a repeat of the standardized skin examination of the test site to document baseline conditions. Six healthy subjects were treated with two 50 MN arrays (100 MN insertions per single patch application site) on the hairless (nonshaved) upper arm before each patch application (four patch sites and 400 MN insertions total per patient). The same procedure, except omission of MN insertion, was used for control subjects. MN insertion simply means placing the MN array over the skin and gently pressing down for a few seconds. The NTX-gel and patch covering were placed over the same area of the skin. An occlusive dressing was placed over the skin site and NTX patches to hold them in place for the study duration.

After patch administration serial blood samples and vital signs were obtained at 15, 30, 45, and 60 min and at 1.5, 2, 4, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h after administration. Subjects were queried, using a standardized instrument, regarding any pain associated with administration and untoward symptom from the product. An examination of the skin was conducted when the
patch was removed and at 4–6 days after administration to detect any irritation, inflammation, discharge, etc., using the standardized skin examination format. A blood chemistry test was repeated to evaluate any gross effects on liver function.

**NTX and NTXOL Plasma Assay.** The assay was similar to that published by Valiveti et al. (28). The extraction efficiency was 86.0 ± 6.8% for NTX and 78.0 ± 19.6% for NTXOL. The limits of quantification for NTX and NTXOL were 1.0 and 0.5 ng/ml, respectively, with r² > 0.95 for both NTX and NTXOL.

**Assessment of Skin Irritation and Resealing Kinetics.** An additional study was conducted to measure skin irritation and resealing kinetics. Ten human volunteers (seven males, 23–51 years of age) were recruited from the Georgia Institute of Technology community. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Georgia Institute of Technology and informed consent was obtained from the subjects. A MN patch (Fig. 3A) was inserted into the volar forearm of the subjects and then immediately removed. Treatment sites were occluded by using an occlusive tape (3M Blenderm surgical tape; 3M Healthcare). The occluded sites were further covered with a waterproof dressing (Nexcare absolute waterproof premium adhesive pad; 3M Healthcare) and Saran wrap (SC Johnson), which was secured with waterproof tape (Nexcare absolute waterproof first aid tape; 3M Healthcare).

Skin electrical resistance was measured hourly with an impedance meter (EIM-105 Prep-Check electrode impedance meter; General Devices) modified with a 200-kΩ resistor (Ack Electronics) in parallel. Ag/AgCl dry electrodes (Thought Technology T-3404; Stens) were used as the measurement electrodes for the MN treatment sites. A large electrode with a highly conductive gel (Superior Silver Electrode with PermaGel; Tyco Healthcare Uni-Patch) was used as the reference electrode to keep the impedance contribution of the reference site at a negligibly low value. Resistance measurements were made by connecting lead wires to the reference and measurement electrodes, respectively. Immediately after MN insertion and periodically during the study, skin irritation was assessed by visually examining the MN-treated sites for erythema, edema, and other adverse skin reactions and comparing them with adjacent untreated skin sites.

**Data Analysis.** The pharmacokinetic analysis of NTX and NTXOL plasma-concentration versus time profiles after MN treatment and gel patch application was carried out by fitting the data to a noncompartmental model with extravascular input (WinNonlin Professional, version 4.0; Pharsight). The data generated after TD application were analyzed by a noncompartmental method using WinNonlin. The steady-state plasma concentration of NTX after the application of patches was calculated by using the equation $C_\text{ss} = \frac{AUC_{0\text{-}-\text{t}}}{\text{time}}$. $C_\text{ss}$ was the final plasma concentration measured from the 72-h sampling time point. The flux constant for human skin was calculated as $J_d = C_\text{ss} \times C/I$. The average daily dose delivered was calculated as $D_d = C_\text{ss} \times C/I$. Statistical analysis of the subject and pharmacokinetic data obtained after the TD application of the patches was performed by one-way ANOVA using SigmaStat. Clinical data were analyzed with SAS.
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